

Agenda Item No: 11
Report To: **AUDIT COMMITTEE**



Date: **26 June 2014**

Report Title: **Audit Commission Work Programme and Scale of Fees 2014/15**

Report Author: Paul Naylor

Summary: This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering Grant Thornton's responsibilities as our external auditors. The paper includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you; and
- a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Key Decision: NO

Affected Wards: NA

Recommendations: **The Committee is asked to:-
Note the External Auditors Fee Proposal and Work Programme for the Year.**

Policy Overview: NA

Financial Implications: NA

Risk Assessment NA

Equalities Impact Assessment NA

Other Material Implications: NA

Background Papers: NA

Contacts: paul.naylor@ashford.gov.uk - Tel: (01233) 330436



Audit Committee Update for Ashford Borough Council


Year ended 31 March 2014

June 2014

Andy Mack
Director
T 0207 728 3299
E andy.l.mack@uk.gt.com

Lisa Robertson
Audit Manager
T 0207 728 3341
E lisa.e.robertson@uk.gt.com

Laura Leka
Executive
T 01293 554 083
E laura.leka@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at June 2014	5
Emerging issues and developments	
Accounting and audit issues	7
Local government guidance	10
Grant Thornton	12

Introduction

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you; and
- a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector (<http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/>). Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Working in tandem, local government governance review 2014, our third annual review, assessing local authority governance, highlighting areas for improvement and posing questions to help assess the strength of current arrangements
- 2016 tipping point? Challenging the current, summary findings from our third year of financial health checks of English local authorities

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Andy Mack	Engagement Lead	T 0207 728 3299	M 07880 456 187	andy.l.mack@uk.gt.com
Lisa Robertson	Audit Manager	T 0207 728 3341	M 07880 456 193	lisa.e.robertson@uk.gt.com

Progress at June 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>2013-14 Accounts Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the District Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2013-14 financial statements.</p>	March 2014	Yes	As presented to March Audit Committee
<p>Interim accounts audit Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updating our review of the Council's control environment • updating our understanding of financial systems • review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems • early work on emerging accounting issues • early substantive testing • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	March 2014	Yes	As reported in our audit plan, there are no significant matters arising from our interim accounts audit work.
<p>2013-14 final accounts audit Including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • audit of the 2013-14 financial statements • proposed opinion on the Council's accounts • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	July – September 2014	Not yet due	July 2014 – September 2014

Progress at June 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>2013- 14 Value for Money (VfM) conclusion The scope of our work to inform the 2013/14 VfM conclusion is based on the reporting criteria specified by the Audit Commission: The Council has proper arrangements in place for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • securing financial resilience – with work focusing on arrangements relating to financial governance, strategic financial planning and financial control. • challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. 	March 2014 – September 2014	Not yet due	<p>As set out in the audit plan, we have undertaken an initial risk assessment to identify areas of risk to our VfM conclusion.</p> <p>The results will be reported to the September committee meeting.</p>
<p>2013-14 Grant Certification We will be required to certify the following grants for the Council in 2013/14:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing and council tax benefit • Pooling of housing capital receipts 	June 2014 – November 2014	Not yet due	All initial testing on the housing benefit grant claim will be completed before the end of September and used to support our audit opinion on the financial statements.
<p>Other activity undertaken Since our last update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have provided support on specific accounting and legal queries • Working with Kent Audit Group, in particular to develop and IA benchmarking survey and discuss potential use of IDEA • Recent Grant Thornton Publications circulated including "A guide to local authority accounting", "Reaping the benefits" "Alternative delivery models" and "Financial resilience review" 	-	-	We would always be happy to discuss any other ways in which Grant Thornton can support the Council.

Understanding your accounts – member guidance

Accounting and audit issues

Guide to local authority accounts

Local authority audit committee members are not expected to be financial experts, but they are responsible for approving and issuing the authority's financial statements. However, local authority financial statements are complex and can be difficult to understand. We have prepared a guide for members to use as part of their review of the financial statements. It explains the key features of the primary statements and notes that make up a set of financial statements. It also includes key challenge questions to help members assess whether the financial statements show a true and fair view of their authority's financial performance and financial position.

The guide considers the :

- explanatory foreword – which should include an explanation of key events and their effect on the financial statements
- annual governance statement – providing a clear sense of the risks facing the authority and the controls in place to manage them
- movement in reserves statement – showing the authority's net worth and spending power
- comprehensive income and expenditure statement – reporting on the year's financial performance and whether operations resulted in surplus or deficit
- balance sheet – a 'snapshot' of the authority's financial position at 31st March; and
- other statements and additional disclosures

Supporting this guide we have produced two further documents to support members in discharging their responsibilities

- helping local authorities prepare clear and concise financial statements
- approving the minimum revenue provision

Copies of these are available from your engagement lead and audit manager

Challenge question

Have members referred to this guidance?

Accounts – our top issues

Accounting and audit issues

Top issues for the 2013/14 closedown

Based on the queries we have received from practitioners and auditors, here is a list of the top issues to consider for the 2013/14 closedown.

1. Do your accounts tell the overall story of your authority's financial performance and financial position? Are they clear, concise and easy to follow? Is detailed information on the most important information easy to find? Have duplicated text, non-material notes and zero entries been removed?
2. Are your accounts internally consistent? In particular, does the movement in reserves statement agree to the detailed notes?
3. Is your programme of revaluations is sufficiently up to date to ensure that the carrying value of property, plant and equipment does not differ materially from the fair value at 31 March 2014?
4. Have you accounted for provisions in accordance with IAS 37?
 - Have you considered provisions for business rates, equal pay and restoration and aftercare of landfill sites?
 - Are your provisions the best estimate of the liability (rather than a prudent estimate or an amount that is convenient for budget purposes)?
 - Is there a robust evidence based methodology to support the estimate?
 - Are there any instances in which a provision has not been made because a reliable estimate cannot be made? If so, Is their robust evidence to support the judgement that a reliable estimate is not possible? Has a contingent liability been disclosed?
5. Is your PFI accounting model up to date? Do your accounts disclose:
 - the fair value of PFI liabilities?
 - information on the impact of inflation on PFI commitments?
6. Have you agreed a detailed closedown plan with your auditors? Does this include how to deal with known major issues, a protocol for dealing with new issues as they arise and a date for a post-implementation review?

Challenge question

Has your Finance Manager addressed the closedown issues and assessed the potential impact for your financial statements?

Accounts – CIPFA bulletin

Accounting and audit issues

LAAP Bulletin 98: Closure of the 2013/14 accounts and related matters

In March, CIPFA's Local Authority Accounting Panel issued [LAAP Bulletin 98](#). The bulletin provides further guidance and clarification to complement CIPFA's 2013/14 Guidance Notes for Practitioners and focuses on those areas that are expected to be significant for most authorities. Topics include:

- public health reform
- non-domestic rates – provision for appeals against the rateable value of business properties
- component accounting
- accounting for pension interest costs in relation to current service cost and pension administration costs
- disclosure requirements for dedicated schools grant.

With regard to future accounting periods, the Bulletin also provides an update on issues affecting 2014/15 and on the measurement of transport infrastructure assets in 2016/17.

Challenge question

Has your Finance Manager reviewed the guidance and assessed the potential impact for your financial statements?

Not to be rubbished, £464 million potential savings

Local government guidance

Audit Commission VFM Profiles

Using data from the VFM Profile, <http://www.audit-commission.gov.uk/information-and-analysis/value-for-money-briefings-2/> the Audit Commission issued a briefing on 27 March 2014, concluding that up to £464 million could be saved overall, if councils spending the most brought down their spending to the average for their authority type and waste responsibilities.

The Audit Commission Chairman, Jeremy Newman said: "It's good news that local authorities have reduced their spending on household waste by £46 million over the past four years and have reduced levels of waste sent to landfill. Councils have achieved these important improvements by working with local people and exercising choice about what works best in their own circumstances."

In the context of considering the hierarchy of waste management options - preventing the creation of waste, preparing waste for re-use, recycling, recovery and disposal to landfill - the Audit Commission Chairman also said

"in 2012/13 local authorities spent a fifth of their total expenditure on the most desirable option for household waste management: minimisation and recycling. They spent the other four-fifths on the collection and disposal of waste – the least desirable options. Councils have the power to influence and encourage residents to do the right thing and they control the levels of spending on the range of waste management options available to them. Their choices ultimately affect how well the environment is protected and the quality of waste services residents receive"

Challenge questions

Has the Council used the Audit Commission briefing paper to consider how their:

- overall spending on household waste management has changed over time?
- spending is divided between waste minimisation, recycling or disposal of waste, and how this has changed over time?;
- spending on different components of waste management compares with authorities that have similar or better performance?

Assessing the costs and benefits of local partnerships

Local government guidance

The government published its cost benefit analysis guidance for local partnerships on 2 April 2014.

Developed as part of the Greater Manchester 'whole place' Community Budget pilot, it was the first Treasury-approved assessment of the costs and benefits of joining-up and reforming public services in local areas.

The framework was developed by New Economy, the economic strategy unit of the Greater Manchester Combined Authority. John Holden, acting director of economic strategy at the agency, led the team that devised the methodology. He said

"this model provides a framework to start thinking about more holistic projects that deliver long-term outcomes but also produce short-term cashability [savings]"

The guidance sets out a standard process to determine the benefit of reforms, based on the unit cost of services, their impact and the savings that result. In providing Treasury backing for the cost benefit analysis framework – it has been included in Whitehall's Green Book for policy appraisal and evaluation – it has been added to the government's assessment process for the latest £320m round of the Transformation Challenge Award, which provides funding to councils to implement reforms.

Challenge question

Has the authority considered the applicability of the government's cost benefit analysis guidance in considering the cost-benefits of local service delivery options?

Working in tandem – Local Government Governance Review 2014

Grant Thornton

Local Government Governance Review

This report: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Local-Government-Governance-Review-2014/> is our third annual review into local authority governance. It aims to assist managers and elected members of councils and fire and rescue authorities to assess the strength of their governance arrangements and to prepare for the challenges ahead.

Drawing on a detailed review of the 2012/13 annual governance statements and explanatory forewords of 150 English councils and fire and rescue authorities, as well as responses from 80 senior council officers and members, the report focuses on three particular aspects of governance:

- risk leadership: setting a tone from the top which encourages innovation as well as managing potential pitfalls
- partnerships and alternative delivery models: implementing governance arrangements for new service delivery models that achieve accountability without stifling innovation
- public communication: engaging with stakeholders to inform and assure them about service performance, financial affairs and governance arrangements.

Alongside the research findings, the report also highlights examples of good practice and poses a number of questions for management and members, to help them assess the strength of their current governance arrangements.

Challenge questions

- Our report includes a number of case studies summarising good practice in risk leadership, partnerships and alternative delivery models and public communication. Has the Authority reviewed these case studies and assessed whether it is meeting good practice in these areas?
- Our report includes key questions for members to ask officers on risk management and alternative delivery models. Are these issues being considered and responded to by officers?


Events

Grant Thornton

Events

We are involved in organising and supporting various events for our local government clients including the following.

- Following on from our recent national report on welfare reform Reaping the Benefits we are continuing to gather information and examples of good practice from local government and housing around the country. We are presenting our key findings updated information on good practice to CIPFA Benefits and Revenues Network and regional CIPFA events
- We are sponsoring the Centre for Public Scrutiny (CFPS) annual Scrutiny Camp Unconference in London on 11 June
- We are also sponsoring The Municipal Journal's annual Growth Agenda conference on 4 June where we will be launching our Where Growth Happens report
- For the third year running we are sponsoring the conference drinks reception at CIPFA's Annual Conference, taking place in London on 2 July
- Paul Grady, Grant Thornton's Head of Police, will be speaking at the third Annual National Conference on Police and Crime Commissioners on 10 July, in Nottingham
- We are hosting an Alternative Delivery Models seminar at our Birmingham office in 16 July where practitioners will share experiences of setting up and operating various alternative delivery models.



© 2014 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk